


DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

|   |   |      |
|---|---|------|
|  | <p><b>A facsimile from</b></p> <p><b>Network Konnection Inc.</b><br/> 152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201<br/> Rockville, MD 20852<br/> Anthony Bowlds<br/> (301) 343-8566<br/> webmaster@netkconnect.net</p> | 02-6 |
| <p><b>To: FCC</b><br/> Office of the Secretary<br/> Fax number: 866-418-0232</p>  |   |      |
| <p><b>Date:</b> 6/18/2007</p>   | <p><b>Regarding:</b> Invoices for SPIN 143024226 FCC-FRN 0004355335</p>   |      |
| <p><b>Comments:</b><br/> See letter Dated June 18, 2007</p>                       |   |      |

We have been a business in Rockville Maryland since 1995. We have had so much of a problem with a government program called USAC that we almost have gone completely out of business. These program subsidies up to 90% of the schools and libraries Telecommunication and Internet Access services. We have been part of the program sense 2002. We have provided service for the schools for 2003, 2004. We have not received the government side of funding because they are still being appealed. We were approved for year 2004 on April 16, 2007 for past funding. I am sending a copy of our invoice request that was sent to USAC. USAC have been very hard on our company for these past years. I am sending you a copy because you are our representative for the District and we would like you to inquire on are company find out why are who inside USAC is giving our company such a hard time?

I would like to add additional 471 Application number File No SLD-486732 to an existing Request for Review File No SLD-378195 and File No-379856 is this possible?

Enclosed

FCC INFORMATION

Fax cover sheet to Congressman Van Hollen

Fax cover sheet to USAC

June 18, 2007 letter

~~Invoice to School for services provided~~ - *is requested*

~~Check amount and date for services delivered and installed~~ *is requested*

~~Service Certification for SLD Invoices form~~ *is requested*

~~Email from S.L.D. Invoicing Department~~ *is requested*

Letter to FCC alleging prohibitive conduct by USAC Reviewers with attach email dated May 27, 2005 from Network Konnection to USAC.

No. of Copies rec'd 2  
List ABCDE

Close Window

Registration Detail

**FRN:** 0004355335

**Registration Date:** 04/17/2001 08:43:09 PM

**Last Updated:** 05/02/2007 11:05:00 PM

**Business Name:** Network Konnection Inc.

**Business Type:** Private Sector , Corporation

**Contact Organization:** Anthony R. Bowlds

**Contact Position:** Vice President


**Contact Name:** Mr Anthony R Bowlds

**Contact Address:** 152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201  
Rockville, MD 20852  
United States

**Contact Email:** webmaster@netkonnnect.net

**Contact Phone:** (301) 343-8566

**Contact Fax:**



## A facsimile from

### Network Konnection Inc.

152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201  
Rockville, MD 20852  
Anthony Bowlds  
(301) 343-8566

**To:** Congressman Van Hollen

Fax number: 301-424-5992

**Date:** 6/18/2007

**Regarding:** Invoices

*2nd Request*

#### Comments:

**I am faxing the copy because you are our representative for the district.**

**See letter Dated June 18, 2007**

We have been a business in Rockville Maryland since 1995. We have had so much of a problem with a government program called USAC that we almost have gone completely out of business. These program subsidies up to 90% of the schools and libraries Telecommunication and Internet Access services. We have been part of the program sense 2002. We have provided service for the schools for 2003, 2004. We have not received the government side of funding because they are still being appealed. We were approved for year 2004 on April 16, 2007 for past funding. I am sending a copy of our invoice request that was sent to USAC. USAC have been very hard on our company for these past years. I am sending you a copy because you are our representative for the District and we would like you to inquire on are company find out why are who inside USAC is giving our company such a hard time?

Enclosed

Fax cover sheet to USAC *→ FCC INFO*

June 18, 2007 letter

Invoice to School for services provided

~~Check amount and date for services delivered and installed~~ *IS Requested*

~~Service Certification for SLD Invoices form~~ *IS Requested*

~~Email from S.L.D. Invoicing Department~~ *IS Requested*

Letter to FCC alleging prohibitive conduct by USAC Reviewers with attach email dated May 27, 2005 from Network Konnection to USAC.



## A facsimile from

### Network Konnection Inc.

152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201

Rockville, MD 20852

Anthony Bowlds

(301) 343-8566

webmaster@netkonnnect.net

To: George Sotnyk

Fax number: 973-599-6569

Date: 6/18/2007

Regarding: SLD Invoice Number: 774205

Comments: See letter Dated June 18, 2007

Applicant Name: THE NEW SCHOOL FOR ENTERPRISE AND DEVELOPMENT

Service Provider Name: Network Konnection Inc.

Submitter Invoice Number: 2737

SLD Invoice Number: 774205

471:432226

Funding Request Number (FRN): 1202169

Undiscounted Amount: \$12,170.04

Discount amount: \$10,953.04

.....  
Submitter Invoice Number: 2737

SLD Invoice Number: 774205

471:432302

Funding Request Number (FRN): 1202032

Undiscounted Amount: \$9,900.00

Discount amount: \$8,910.00

**Network Konnection Inc.**

152 Rollins Ave., Suite 201  
Rockville MD 20852  
(301) 343-8566

June 18, 2007

Gregory Sotnyk  
SLD  
Invoicing Department

Dear Mr. Sotnyk,

This letter is in response to your request for a Service Certification for SLD for The New School for Enterprise and Development, Entity Number 221227. I am not sure if the School and Libraries Division is aware that The New School for Enterprise and Development now closed. The school ceased operations in June 2006. The person charged with signing the Service Certification for SLD invoices is no longer an employee of the school. I am therefore providing proof that delivery and installation of service were performed and that the school paid their portion. Enclosed is Network Konnection invoices that were sent to the school, invoice amounts, check dates and copies of our bank statements that show the exact amount of the checks that were deposited into our bank account as proof of payment for services provided to the school.

Regards,

  
Anthony Bowlds  
Vice President

CC: FCC, Office of the Secretary  
Congressman, Chris Van Hollen, Maryland 8<sup>th</sup> District  
Universal Service Administrative Company

Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

|   |   |                     |
|---|---|---------------------|
| In the Matter of                                  | ) |                     |
|   | ) |                     |
| Requests for Review of the                        | ) |                     |
| Decision of the                                   | ) |                     |
| Universal Service Administrator by                | ) |                     |
|   | ) |                     |
| The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS | ) | File No SLD-378195  |
| Washington, DC                                    | ) |                     |
|   | ) |                     |
| The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS | ) | File No. SLD-379856 |
| Washington, DC                                    | ) |                     |
|   | ) |                     |
| Schools and Libraries Universal Service           | ) | CC Docket No. 02-6  |
| Support Mechanism                                 | ) |                     |

If a request for confidential treatment is clearly indicated on the first page of the filing, the staff at the filing counter will enclose the filing in a Commission envelope labeled "confidential."

**We are requesting confidential treatment.**

If you are alleging prohibitive conduct by a third party, there are additional rules for serving a copy on that third party and allowing them to respond. Consult 47 C.F.R. §54.721, which can be found in Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

**We are alleging prohibitive conduct by USAC Reviewers.**

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: Initial Contact case 21-262709  
From: [slidnoreply@sl.universalservice.org](mailto:slidnoreply@sl.universalservice.org)  
Date: Fri, May 27, 2005 12:57 pm  
To: [arbowlds@netkonnnect.net](mailto:arbowlds@netkonnnect.net)

Thank you for your inquiry. In order that the SLD may provide you with a thorough and accurate response, we are currently researching your request. An answer will be provided to you as soon as we learn more.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact our Schools and Libraries Helpline at 1-888-203-8100. Please remember to visit our website for updates: <http://www.sl.universalservice.org>

Thank you,  
Schools and Libraries Division  
Universal Service Administrative Company

-----Original Message-----

From: [arbowlds@netkconnect.net](mailto:arbowlds@netkconnect.net)

Subject: Initial Contact

[FirstName]=Anthony

[LastName]=Bowlds

[JobTitle]=Vice President

[EmailAddress]=[arbowlds@netkconnect.net](mailto:arbowlds@netkconnect.net)

[WorkPhone]=2024392650

[FaxPhone]=8005251853

[PreviousCaseNumber]=0

[FormType]=Code 9

[Owner]=TCSB

[DateSubmitted]=5/27/2005 10:29:28 AM

[AttachmentFlag]=N[Question2]=Our SPIN number is 143024226. There seems to be favoritism being played inside USAC. They seem to be holding up or tuning down Washington DC Applicants and Services Providers in large numbers. This has been going on since year 2003-2004 and is now going through 2004-2005. If you check the FRN that were funded and not funded you will notice extreme differences. In the year 2003-2004 Washington DC had approximately 19% funded for the whole year.

As of this year 2004-2005.

5/27/2005

| STATE | total FRN | not funded | funded | %funded |
|-------|-----------|------------|--------|---------|
| DC    | 326       | 213        | 113    | 34.66   |
| IN    | 2255      | 865        | 1390   | 61.64   |
| TX    | 9699      | 2525       | 174    | 73.96   |
| CA    | 9322      | 2843       | 6479   | 69.50   |
| LA    | 2537      | 593        | 1944   | 76.62   |

As you can see there is something going on inside USAC in regards to the Washington DC Applicants and Service Providers. This may be happening in other states. The question we have is why USAC is turning down so many Washington DC Applicants and Service Providers?

---

More proof that favoritism being played inside USAC.

The New School for Enterprise and Development PCS ) File No. SLD-380695  
Washington, DC )

**USAC Reviewers turned down some service providers and approved others under the same entity for that year, for there own reason. Example- They turned down the school because they stated During application review, you were asked to demonstrate that when you filed your Form 471 you had secured access to the funds needed to pay your portion of the charges, and you were unable to do so on the other hand they approved the other service provider showing that the entity did have there portion of the charges. This has been going on for inside USAC the passed few years. This is the reason that we are appealing. They are intentionally trying to turn certain service providers regardless of rules. They approved other service providers ill respective of the rules.**